

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/630,895	08/02/2000	David K. Roberts	PHB 34,371	7980	
24737	24737 7590 11/10/2003			EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			WILLIAMS, LAWRENCE B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2634	7	
· '			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003	, /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4 4			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/630,895	ROBERTS, DAVID K.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lawrence B Williams	2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	<u>August 2000</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ority documents have been receive ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	, ,				

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1.

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because:
- a.) In Fig. 3, examiner suggests applicant label element 13, "data processing system" as referenced in specification.
- b.) In Fig. 4, examiner suggests applicant label elements 43, 44, 45; "data processing system", "cpu", and "storage", respectively as referenced in specification.
- c.) In Figs. 4-7, the items contained need to contain a text label. It is office policy to request from applicants that submitted figures contain both text and numerical labels to allow individuals viewing each figure to be able to determine the designation of each element in the figure without having to go into the specifications.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Application/Control Number: 09/630,895

Art Unit: 2634

Page 3

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because Examiner suggests applicant delete

line 20.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the

presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any

errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 4 of the claim reads

where ID is the said kernel." This wording is ambiguous. How can one single ID be regarded as

a kernel, when applicant's equation on line 3 above reads "a function of this said ID

(|f(ID)|. Applicant's specification on page 16, line 4, references ID as the unique identifier of

the mobile stations.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2634

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1 –9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, because the claim fails to articulate how each step is incorporated in order to support the method of generating a cyclic sequence of frequencies. The claims appear to be all function language.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 8-10 are allowed.
- 9. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 10. Claims 2-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The instant application discloses a method for generating a sequence of frequencies in a wireless environment. Prior art references show similar methods but fail to teach a sequence of indices being derived from a kernel, updating the list dependent upon a part of a previous selection along with the remaining limitations of the independent claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/630,895

Art Unit: 2634

Conclusion

Page 5

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lawrence B Williams whose telephone number is 703-305-6969.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00) being out of the office

every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular

communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Lawrence B. Williams

lbw

October 20, 2003

STEPHEN CHIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE:

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600